

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

WILMINGTON TRUST, NATIONAL  
ASSOCIATION, et al.,

Case No. 2:15-cv-02293-JAD-PAL

Plaintiff

V.

FIRST 100, LLC, et al.,

## Defendants

# Order Discharging Obligations under the Order to Show Cause Why this Case Should Not Be Dismissed for Want of Subject-matter Jurisdiction

Questioning the sufficiency of the citizenship allegations in the complaint, I ordered plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for want of subject-matter jurisdiction. ECF No. 10. Plaintiff timely responded. *See* ECF No. 11. Although plaintiff's response did not demonstrate to my satisfaction a basis for finding subject-matter jurisdiction, my closer review of the complaint reveals that plaintiff is challenging the constitutionality of NRS Chapter 116 and has thus presented a federal question on the face of the properly pleaded complaint that gives this court jurisdiction to hear this case. *See Hall v. N. Am. Van Lines, Inc.*, 476 F.3d 683, 687 (9th Cir. 2007) (“The presence or absence of federal-question jurisdiction is governed by the ‘well-pleaded complaint rule,’ which provides that federal jurisdiction exists only when a federal question is presented on the face of the plaintiff’s properly pleaded complaint.”); ECF No. 1 at ¶ 42.

Accordingly, with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's obligations under the order to show cause [ECF No. 10] are deemed discharged.

Dated: April 20, 2016.

  
Jennifer A. Dorsey  
United States District Judge